

# Charles R. Coombs

---

July 25, 1993

Your Views  
P. O. Box 25125  
Oklahoma City, OK 73125

To the Editor:

*NOTE: "Colonel X" is a real Air Force Colonel, now residing in the military prison at Leavenworth, Kansas. He was married and has three children.*

Consider the entirely hypothetical case of Colonel X. The good Colonel is accused, and subsequently convicted, of indecent acts with children, sodomy with adults, and (one suppresses a mild gasp) sodomite "fraternization" with a person of enlisted rank. All this beginning the day after our commander in chief decrees that we're not to ask about or tell on people we know to be homosexuals in our armed forces.

Say Colonel X is a married man. Does all this mean his wife had no right to know she was married to someone in the highest risk group for AIDS? Posit that he has, say, three children. Had they no right to assurance they wouldn't be born with any of the loathsome diseases that characterize homosexuals, of which AIDS is only one?

What makes this purely conjectural case important is that homosexuals are about to win their battle for complete legal and social acceptance in the United States. The "don't ask don't tell" rule for the military is only a foot in the door, but it's all that's needed for activist judges like Ruth Bader Ginsburg and the justices of the Colorado Supreme Court to legislate every change the homosexual heart desires. You and I won't make the rules anymore, nor will our elected representatives. You and I will merely pay, albeit less dearly than the imaginary X family, for the consequences of homosexual behavior.

A homosexual recently pleaded on a radio newscast for what he claimed was Thurgood Marshall's definition of "justice:" a condition in which "everybody gets the same thing, in the same way, in the same place, at the same time."

Brace yourselves.

Charles R. Coombs

---

5718 Northwest 23rd Street #250W, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73127-2226

(405) 942-5358

# *Charles R. Coombs*

---

November 25, 1995

Your Views  
The Oklahoman  
P. O. Box 25125  
Oklahoma City, OK 73125

To the Editor:

Your editorial "Idolizing Rebellion" (Nov. 24) decries the wholehearted acceptance of the homosexual political agenda that our education colleges consistently demonstrate. Indeed, all public education groups, particularly the National Education Association, advocate the acceptance of homosexuality as normal. They call for hiring homosexual counselors, scoutmasters and teachers, as well as for sanctioning homosexual "marriage" and adoption. Clearly they believe we should give homosexuals unsupervised access to children.

Should we? "The Internet Crime Archives" chronicles the exploits of serial killers. In this listing, fourteen out of 30 individual serial killers — 47% — are clearly homosexual, twelve are clearly heterosexual, and four can't be readily classified. Most of the homosexuals murdered children.

An Internet YAHOO search turns up the "Believe the Children Conviction List: Ritual Child Abuse," which lists 27 names of convicted abusers. Of these, eight are homosexual, thirteen are heterosexual, and the orientation of eight more is unclear. Homosexuals contribute at least 30% of these convictions.

Scientist Max Godfrey has researched and written a number of articles on the sexual abuse of children, which are also available on the Internet. His figures indicate that about 30% of all child sexual abuse victims are boys. Women are responsible for about a third of such assaults, implying that 20% of all child sexual abuse is homosexual.

The homosexual 3% of our population appears guilty of a fifth to half of these sex-related crimes. Worse, as the perversity of a crime increases, so does the likelihood that its perpetrator is homosexual. Note, too, that there's no heterosexual equivalent of NAMBLA, the homosexual organization that seeks to rescind the laws defining statutory rape.

This is hardly a definitive, scientific study. But it is cause for limiting homosexuals' access to children until we know more about their affliction and its effects. We should also be asking our kids' teachers who are members of the NEA or its Oklahoma affiliate how they feel about contributing money to the homosexual political cause.

They may be as upset as the rest of us.

Charles R. Coombs

P. S.: Here are the URLs I searched for the underlying articles. I'd be happy to send the texts themselves along, should you wish to check for any serious disagreement. And please visit the COER Home Page at <http://www.telepath.com/crcoombs!>

<http://dazed.ddv.com/Art/Crime/archives.html>

<http://lcopt.physics.fsu.edu/Local/godfrey/sar.html> (Male Sexual Abuse Recovery)

<http://lcopt.physics.fsu.edu/Local/godfrey/sar/boy.html> (The boy victim)

<http://lcopt.physics.fsu.edu/Local/godfrey/sar/adult.html> (The male perpetrator)

# *Charles R. Coombs*

---

October 17, 1992

Your Views  
The Oklahoman  
P. O. Box 25125  
Oklahoma City, OK 73125

To the Editor:

The so-called "Freedom of Choice Act" is a sham. It offers a woman the right to abortion only, not to the use of her own body.

If you doubt that, take a look at what happens when a woman tries to exercise inalienable, God-given property rights in her body. If she sells her services as a prostitute, she can look forward to a lifetime of persecution and subjugation, in the name of criminal justice, that amounts to little more than slavery.

These putative offenders are often poor, live in substandard housing, and work under appalling conditions. Efforts to distribute condoms among them have met with some success, but even that hasn't solved most of their economic problems. The police continue to confiscate their money and to harass them in the workplace.

Clearly we need to expand the scope of FOCA dramatically to encompass real sexual freedom and privacy. A woman's decision to sell her own body must remain between herself, her pimp, and Almighty God.

Charles R. Coombs

# *Charles R. Coombs*

---

November 21, 1993

Your Views  
The Oklahoman  
P. O. Box 25125  
Oklahoma City, OK 73125

To the Editor:

Joe Quigley (Nov. 21) is dead on in his assertions that “ignorance is a great weapon,” and that “fear based on ignorance is a powerful tool,” especially as wielded by the world’s Joe Quigleys.

Take AIDS, for example. At a time when this country has trashed its future by discarding basic scientific research, you can still get funding for AIDS research: It’s our second-largest project, after mapping the human genome. Compelling evidence, however, is that we’re being lied to about heterosexual AIDS, and that statistics, especially out of Africa, have been deliberately falsified. Fear and ignorance indeed!

Take Gay Pride. If you want to see the apotheosis of the sodomite as chaste, upright citizen, take your kids to a Gay Pride march in San Fransisco sometime. Compare what you see to the behavior of the heterosexuals of your acquaintance. Maybe most homosexuals are decent family members, but Gay Pride is what’s in our faces. Whose lookout is this bit of fear and ignorance?

Take art. The most charming expression of the homosexual as good ol’ boy has got to be the crucifix hanging upside down in a jar of urine that the rest of us paid money for. A doughty blow against fear and ignorance, that one.

Mr. Quigley, if you want to reason with me, first get out of my face. Don’t lie to me about things that are easy to check on, don’t go out of your way to insult me, and don’t rip me off. I believed once that what people do in their bedrooms is their own business. Thanks mainly to people like you, I now think it’s time we investigate the harm your pathology does to people who don’t otherwise suffer from it.

Here’s looking at you.

Charles R. Coombs

# *Charles R. Coombs*

---

February 3, 1993

Your Views  
The Oklahoman  
P. O. Box 25125  
Oklahoma City, OK 73125

To the Editor:

Marylou Moad confuses strong, physical attraction a man feels for a beautiful woman with the will to rape.

My evidence is purely anecdotal, but I've never seen a woman, dressed or undressed, beautiful or plain, provocative or demure, in a way that made me want to rape her. I've never discussed the subject with a man who admitted to fantasizing about rape, let alone wanting to commit it.

I think the desire to rape women is itself a perversion, a sickness crying out for a cure, like pedophilia or homosexuality. I'll bet the overwhelming majority of men agree with me.

In this context, Ms. Moad's suggestion that men should somehow view homosexuals in the same way they view attractive women is profoundly disgusting. Actually, I've never felt violent toward homosexuals myself, but with more efforts like hers and Rodham/Clinton's, I could come around.

Charles R. Coombs

# Charles R. Coombs

---

February 15, 1994

Your Views  
The Oklahoman  
P. O. Box 25125  
Oklahoma City, 73125

To the Editor:

*NOTE: The Oklahoman didn't print this, probably because it's too long. This is the only letter in this collection that wasn't published.*

I think Tillie Kernan's letter (Feb. 19) decrying sex education and promiscuity oversimplifies the problem.

In the mid-fifties, I attended McCurdy School, an Evangelical United Brethren mission in Santa Cruz, New Mexico. McCurdy was unabashedly fundamentalist. One studied the Bible for as many semesters — all of them — as one studied English. There was church twice on Sunday and chapel twice a week. There were Upper Room devotions on the other nights.

McCurdy's work ethic, too was fundamentally Christian. The school ran a dairying operation that supplied the clinic in Espanola, and it had an extensive orchard. Every student had a job. When you weren't otherwise occupied, you could get paid work evenings and Saturdays. Some kids worked off their tuition that way every year, proudly supporting themselves.

Some students were there because they had run into trouble with the law, or because they had borne babies out of wedlock. You'd think such a hidebound, upright, fundamentalist institution would consider this a result of the sins of world and flesh, and refuse to talk about it, let alone sponsor classes in sex education.

And you'd be dead wrong. Each year they brought doctors in from the clinic. They told us quite explicitly where and what everything is, and how it works. They told us about condoms. They showed us graphic movies about venereal disease. They shared a few choice bits of slang, and related what they were teaching to what most of us, they knew, had already picked up on the street. McCurdy successfully turned around many misdirected, young lives. Some of that doubtless accrues to their willingness to teach real life, including sex, in their classrooms.

This teaching was given, however, in the context of the Two Great Commandments and the Golden Rule. Its success rested on the strength of community that grows among people who work together in a common situation for a common cause. Most of us, much of the time, loved and respected one another as we were taught, and this also came from McCurdy's classrooms. That made sex education meaningful and effective.

Strip away that context, and you have sex education as provided in our public schools. There's no right or wrong: only what feels good to one or more of the participants. There is more beauty and sanctity in the rutting of hogs than in the intimacy of most relationships today, and Kernan is mistaken neither about where all this is coming from nor about what it's doing to our society.

Our schools and our courts have turned sex education into sex training. The schools have done just as lousy a job of that as they have of everything else with such results as one sees staring from every inner city sidewalk. If we can't, therefore, take our schools back, I say it's time to shut them down.

Tillie Kernan is right: Just say "no" to sex. And just say "no" at voting time to the system that so thoroughly pollutes it.

Charles R. Coombs